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1 The New York Convention
Is your state a party to the New York Convention? Are there any noteworthy declarations or reservations?

Greece is party to the New York Convention (the Convention), having ratified the treaty on 16 July 1962. Greece transposed the Convention into its national legislation by Legislative Decree 4220/1962. Greece has made two reservations under article 1(3). The first refers to the general principle of reciprocity: the Convention applies exclusively with respect to arbitral awards issued in another contracting state. The second refers to the commercial nature of the underlying legal relationship: the Convention applies only to those arbitral awards that have ruled on disputes in which the underlying legal relationship has a commercial nature.

2 Other treaties
Is your state a party to any other bilateral or multilateral treaties regarding the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards?

Greece is party to several bilateral and multilateral treaties that refer to the provisions of the Convention. Hence, the Convention is widely considered the key legislative text for the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in Greece. With respect to investment disputes, Greece is also party to the Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (ICSID Convention), and the award enforcement provisions contained therein.

3 National law
Is there an arbitration act or equivalent and, if so, is it based on the UNCITRAL Model Law? Does it apply to all arbitral proceedings with their seat in your jurisdiction?

Law 2735/1999 on International Commercial Arbitration (Law 2735/1999) introduced and, in essence, incorporated into Greek national legislation the vast majority of the provisions of the UNCITRAL Model Law, as the latter was in force at the time of its adoption. Greek law does not yet reflect the amendments to the Model Law adopted by UNCITRAL on 7 July 2006.

Law 2735/1999 applies only to international commercial arbitration proceedings that have their seat in Greece. Domestic arbitration proceedings are governed by articles 867–903 of the Greek Code of Civil Procedure (GCCP).

4 Arbitration bodies in your jurisdiction
What arbitration bodies relevant to international arbitration are based within your jurisdiction? Do such bodies also act as appointing authorities?

The most important arbitration bodies practising international arbitration in Greece are:

- the Department of Arbitration of the Athens Chamber of Commerce and Industry (ACCI), which manages arbitration issues regarding commercial disputes;
- the Piraeus Association for Maritime Arbitration (PAMA), which is a private non-profit association involved in the resolution of maritime disputes by arbitration in Piraeus;
- the Hellenic Chamber of Shipping, specialising in shipping disputes; and
- the Regulatory Authority of Energy, which has been introduced in the context of Greece’s attempt to liberalise the energy and telecommunications markets.

Each of the above bodies can act as appointing authorities in case the parties fail to agree on the appointment of arbitrators.

5 Foreign institutions
Can foreign arbitral providers operate in your jurisdiction?

As no relevant restriction is set by Greek law, foreign arbitral providers can operate within the Greek territory.

6 Courts
Is there a specialist arbitration court? Is the judiciary in your jurisdiction generally familiar with the law and practice of international arbitration?

There is no specialist arbitration court established in Greece. Domestic courts are generally familiar with international arbitration proceedings, particularly with respect to issues upon which they are entitled to rule or assist under Law 2735/1999. There is a general deference to arbitral awards in line with the Convention. Moreover, Greek courts are involved in the recognition and enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, while the application of the Convention raises no problems in practice.

Agreement to arbitrate

7 Formalities
What, if any, requirements must be met if an arbitration agreement is to be valid and enforceable under the
8 Arbitrability

Are any types of dispute non-arbitrable? If so, which?
The fundamental principle set out in GCCP article 867 provides for any private law disputes (ie, disputes between individuals, private entities or states (acting as fiscus) and state entities engaging in commercial conduct). The subject matter of the arbitration can be freely disposed by the parties or can be referred to arbitration. Hence, criminal cases, administrative disputes that fall into the competence of the Council of State as specified in articles 94 and 95 of the Greek Constitution, family disputes (eg, relations between relatives) and tax disputes cannot be referred to arbitration under Greek law. Specifically regarding tax disputes, although in principle they are not arbitrable, they can be referred to arbitration where the state has control over the subject of the dispute. Labour disputes are explicitly exempt from arbitration, save for collective bargaining disputes (article 15 of Law 1876/1990) and disputes under GCCP article 663(4), which can be submitted solely to international arbitration as long as they are of a commercial nature (article 1(4) of Law 2735/1999).

9 Third parties

Can a third party be bound by an arbitration clause and, if so, in what circumstances? Can third parties participate in the arbitration process through joinder or a third-party notice?

Greek law provides that the arbitration agreement binds only the signatory parties with the exception of (i) the assignee of the contract or claim in a voluntary assignment, who is considered bound by the arbitration clause concluded between the initial parties, provided that such clause is expressly included in the assignment, and (ii) the successor of one of the parties, which is also considered to be bound by the arbitration clause in the case of insolvency, death or any other type of universal succession. As a general principle, Greek courts, being in line with the jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice, have ruled that an arbitration clause can be enforced in favour of – but not against – third-party beneficiaries of the contract.

There is also limited Greek case law suggesting that a signatory plaintiff can enforce an arbitration clause against a non-signatory defendant (shareholder or parent company of the plaintiff’s counterparty in the arbitration agreement) in cases where there are grounds for piercing the corporate veil or applying the “group of companies” doctrine.

In the absence of a relevant provision under Greek law, third parties may participate in the arbitration by submitting a joinder or a third-party notice, provided that the parties to the dispute and the tribunal have expressed their consent.

10 Consolidation

Would an arbitral tribunal with its seat in your jurisdiction be able to consolidate separate arbitral proceedings under one or more contracts and, if so, in what circumstances?

Although Greek law does not address the issue of consolidation, as a matter of principle, an arbitral tribunal may, sua sponte or upon the request of one or both of the parties, consolidate separate arbitral proceedings under one or more contracts, provided that those proceedings have materially similar legal and factual background and all parties agree to such consolidation. The parties may decide to further specify the consolidation process that is to be followed by applying the procedural rules of an arbitral institution, or any other set of procedural rules.

11 Groups of companies

Is the “group of companies doctrine” recognised in your jurisdiction?

In the event that the choice of law in a contract is Greek law, the group of companies theory is not recognised and, therefore, tribunals do not have jurisdiction over non-signatories to the arbitration agreement under Greek law. Greek courts have been particularly reluctant in applying doctrines such as the group of companies doctrine or any other theory for piercing the corporate veil and have applied the principle sparingly.

The Greek Supreme Court ruled that, even if a company and its shareholders have common interests, this alone does not suffice for the piercing of the corporate veil. It also found that the mere fact that a company’s shareholders appear to be performing the company’s main business is equally insufficient to allow the piercing of the corporate veil.

It is only under very specific exceptions that Greek courts have ruled on the application of these principles, mainly in cases involving abusive use of the corporate structure by the main shareholder for purposes of circumventing the law, fraudulently causing damage to a third person, or avoiding a shareholder’s personal obligations. This abusive conduct is often evidenced by insufficient financing of the company in question or the intermingling of corporate and individual property.

12 Separability

Are arbitration clauses considered separable from the main contract?

Under Greek law, the separability doctrine stands. Arbitration clauses are considered separable from the main contract (article 16(1) of Law 2735/1999). Therefore, the invalidity of the main contract does not in itself affect the validity of an arbitration clause, and vice versa.

13 Competence-competence

Is the principle of competence-competence recognised in your jurisdiction? Can a party to an
14 Drafting

Are there particular issues to note when drafting an arbitration clause where your jurisdiction will be the seat of arbitration or the place where enforcement of an award will be sought?

As there are no considerable particularities under Greek law, it is generally recommended to follow one of the model clauses proposed by international arbitration institutions, as these provide all the essential elements that the parties should agree to ex ante, so as to mitigate the risk of being considered pathological. As a rule of thumb, the more specific the wording the better, with a view to limiting potential ambiguities arising with respect to the clause’s interpretation (eg, the tribunal’s power to rule upon claims for torts, or to award specific types of remedies). The typical wording, “[a]ny dispute arising out of or in connection with this contract, including any question regarding its existence, validity or termination, shall be referred to and finally resolved by arbitration”, would be considered sufficient for these purposes.

15 Institutional arbitration

Is institutional international arbitration more or less common than ad hoc international arbitration? Are the UNCITRAL Rules commonly used in ad hoc international arbitrations in your jurisdiction?

At present, ad hoc arbitration is more common because there is no general arbitration institution in Greece. In the absence of any relevant statistics and published jurisprudence, prior experience suggests that the most commonly used rules in ad hoc international arbitration seated in Greece are the LCIA Rules, the UNCITRAL Rules and, in some particular cases, especially to resolve disputes arising in the context of concession agreements, the ICC Rules.

16 Multi-party agreements

What, if any, are the particular points to note when drafting a multi-party arbitration agreement with your jurisdiction in mind? In relation to, for example, the appointment of arbitrators.

There are no special considerations for conducting multi-party arbitrations in Greece, or for the drafting of the relevant arbitration agreement. However, to avoid any ambiguities that may arise from the interpretation of Law 2735/1999, arbitration agreements should specify the manner of appointment of arbitrators, as well as other procedural details.

17 Request for arbitration

How are arbitral proceedings commenced in your jurisdiction? Are there any key provisions under the arbitration laws of your jurisdiction relating to limitation periods of which the parties should be aware?

Pursuant to article 16(1) of Law 2735/1999, the arbitral tribunal is competent to rule with respect to the existence and the limits of its own jurisdiction (application of the competence-competence principle). This principle is generally recognised and respected by Greek courts.

18 Choice of law

How is the substantive law of the dispute determined? Where the substantive law is unclear, how will a tribunal determine what it should be?

Parties are free to choose the substantive law that will govern their relationship and to so specify in their contract, or to decide after a dispute has arisen. For any ambiguously drafted choice of law provisions, the arbitral tribunal will attempt to interpret parties’ true intent.

In the absence of any selection of law, the arbitral tribunal in international commercial arbitration applies the substantive law determined by the private international law rule that is considered most suitable for the dispute in question (article 28(2) of Law 2735/1999), while the arbitral tribunal in domestic arbitration applies the Greek law (GCCP article 890), taking into account any relevant conflict of laws rule.

19 Choice of arbitrators

Does the law of your jurisdiction place any limitations in respect of a party’s choice of arbitrator?

Without prejudice to mandatory national rules, such as GCCP article 872 (dealing with parties’ equality in the appointment of arbitrators), the parties are, in principle, free to select arbitrators in both international commercial arbitrations and domestic ones. In the former, the arbitrators’ selection procedure follows the rules set out in articles 10–11 of Law 2735/1999, which basically reflect articles 10–11 of the UNCITRAL Model Law. In domestic arbitration, GCCP article 871A provides for a specific procedure for the selection and appointment of judges as arbitrators.

Article 49 of the Introductory Law of the GCCP, article 16(2) of Law 4110/2003 (which replaced article 6(3) of Law 3086/2002) and article 8(1) of Legislative Decree 736/1970 list requirements for appointing arbitrators over disputes arising from contracts concluded with the state or state entities in both international and domestic arbitration. The state’s arbitrator should be a member of the State Legal Council and is appointed by virtue of a decision of the Minister of...
Finance and any other competent minister, following an opinion issued by the Plenary of the State Legal Council. Greek law also provides for the appointment of a state arbitrator who is not a member of the State Legal Council, if the nature of the dispute so requires, provided that there is a relevant provision in the agreement countersigned by the Minister of Finance.

20 Foreign arbitrators
Can non-nationals act as arbitrators where the seat is in your jurisdiction or hearings are held there? Is this subject to any immigration or other requirements?
Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, Greece places no limitation on the appointment of non-nationals as arbitrators in either international commercial or domestic arbitration. Article 11(1) of Law 2735/1999 and GCCP article 871 do not impose any requirements as to arbitrator nationality.

No specific immigration or other requirements exist. The usual travel and visa requirements apply.

21 Default appointment of arbitrators
How are arbitrators appointed where no nomination is made by a party or parties or the selection mechanism fails for any reason? Do the courts have any role to play?
Article 11 of Law 2735/1999 provides for the default selection mechanism in international commercial arbitration. If a selection mechanism has been agreed upon by the parties but its application fails, any party may request the court to take the necessary measures for the appointment of the arbitrators, unless the agreement that sets out the selection mechanism provides otherwise for securing such selection (articles 6(1) and 11(3) of Law 2735/1999). In the absence of such mechanism, article 11(4) of Law 2735/1999 provides for a default mechanism, again with the intervention and assistance of the court of article 6(1) of Law 2735/1999 again upon request by the parties. A court’s decision on the appointment of an arbitrator or arbitrators under Law 2735/1999, article 11, paragraphs 3–4 cannot be challenged.

With respect to domestic arbitration, a similar court-assisted default appointment mechanism is provided under GCCP article 878.

22 Immunity
Are arbitrators afforded immunity from suit under the law of your jurisdiction and, if so, in what terms?
Greek law does not provide for arbitrators’ immunity. Pursuant to GCCP Article 881, arbitrators are liable for wilful misconduct and gross negligence. Hence, a party may file a claim for damages according to the provisions of Article 73 of Introductory Law of the GCCP, which provides for a suit for miscarriage of justice.

23 Securing payment of fees
Can arbitrators secure payment of their fees in your jurisdiction? Are there fundholding services provided by relevant institutions?
There is no provision under Greek law dealing with the power of a tribunal to require security of fees in international commercial arbitration. However, it is not uncommon in practice for arbitrators to impose such a requirement, either on their own initiative or upon a party’s request. Among the above-mentioned arbitral bodies (see question 4), the ACII, PAMA and RAIE Rules require for partial or total payment of all costs, including the arbitrators’ fees, in advance.

In domestic arbitration, the claimant is required to pay in advance half of the arbitrators’ fees. The same proportion of advance payment is required by any other third party, by request of which the object of the dispute is broadened. In any case, the exact prepayment amount will be determined by the arbitral tribunal (GCCP article 882).

Challenges to arbitrators
24 Grounds of challenge
On what grounds may a party challenge an arbitrator? How are challenges dealt with in the courts or (as applicable) the main arbitration institutions in your jurisdiction? Will the IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration generally be taken into account?
Article 12(2) of Law 2735/1999 provides that an arbitrator may be validly challenged only for justifiable doubts as to his or her impartiality, independence or possession of the qualifications agreed to by the parties. However, a party may challenge an arbitrator appointed by it, or in whose appointment it has participated, only for reasons of which it becomes aware after the appointment has been made. Under Law 2735/1999 (provided that the parties have not agreed on a different procedure), if the challenged arbitrator does not resign or the parties do not agree to the challenge, the arbitral tribunal shall decide on the challenge, and if the tribunal rejects it, then the challenging party may resort to the domestic courts.

Though not binding, the IBA Guidelines are generally taken into account.

Interim relief
25 Types of relief
What main types of interim relief are available in respect of international arbitration and from whom (the tribunal or the courts)? Are anti-suit injunctions available where proceedings are brought elsewhere in breach of an arbitration agreement?
Under article 17 of Law 2735/1999 (unless otherwise agreed by the parties), the arbitral tribunal may order provisional and protective measures upon request of one of the parties. Should a party fail to voluntarily comply with the measures ordered by the tribunal, such measures must be validated by the competent Court of First Instance, upon request by one of the parties, pursuant to article 17(2) of Law 2735/1999. The arbitral tribunal may choose at its own discretion the type of the “provisional and protective” measures that it considers adequate and appropriate. The arbitral tribunal shares competence with the national courts, which can always offer interim relief to any of the parties (article 9 of Law 2735/1999). However, the competence of the tribunal does not extend to offering interim relief against a third party to the arbitration, in which case national courts have exclusive competence.

In domestic arbitration, arbitral tribunals are explicitly prohibited to order provisional measures, and any relevant agreement between the parties is considered null and void (GCCP articles 685 and 889). Greek law does not provide for anti-suit injunctions.

26 Security for costs
Does the law of your jurisdiction allow a court or tribunal to order a party to provide security for costs?
There is no provision under Law 2735/1999 providing for security for costs. Hence, such possibility cannot be excluded and the arbitral tribunal may order such a security ex officio, upon a relevant request by one of the parties, or even in the context of interim relief measures (see question 25).

With respect to domestic arbitration, see question 23.
Procedure

27 Procedural rules

Are there any mandatory rules in your jurisdiction that govern the conduct of the arbitration (eg, general duties of the tribunal and/or the parties)?

Rules considered as public order rules are mandatory in all cases, including the conduct of international commercial arbitration. These rules mainly concern the fundamental principles of fair trial and, therefore, guarantee equal treatment of the parties, adversarial procedure and due process.

28 Refusal to participate

What is the applicable law (and prevailing practice) where a respondent fails to participate in an arbitration?

Unless otherwise agreed for by the parties, should a respondent who has been duly notified under the applicable provisions refuse or unjustifiably fail to participate in an international commercial arbitration, the arbitral proceedings continue ex parte (article 25 of Law 2735/1999) as the respondent cannot be forced to participate. A respondent's absence from the proceedings is interpreted neither as a silent admission of the claimant's allegations, nor as a silent denial thereof. The claimant still bears the burden of proof of its allegations before the tribunal.

29 Admissible evidence

What types of evidence are usually admitted, and how is evidence usually taken? Will the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration generally be taken into account?

Pursuant to article 19 of Law 2735/1999 and GCCP Article 886, parties in both international commercial arbitration and domestic arbitration have the right to determine the rules applying to the arbitral proceedings. Hence, the taking of evidence can be agreed to by the parties and specific rules may come into force. These rules shall not contradict (i) the principles of due process and equal treatment of the parties; (ii) the public order provisions of lex fori; and (iii) the mandatory provisions of Law 2735/1999 (eg, article 27, which provides for court assistance in taking evidence) and, with regard to domestic arbitrations, the mandatory provisions of the GCCP. In practice, evidence such as documents, witnesses (including cross-examination) and experts that report on specific issues are rather common in arbitral proceedings. In the absence of a valid agreement setting out the rules for taking evidence, these are determined by the arbitral tribunal.

With respect to the taking of evidence, see question 30.

Tribunals take the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Commercial Arbitration into account, with respect to document disclosure.

30 Court assistance

Will the courts in your jurisdiction play any role in the obtaining of evidence?

Courts' involvement in the taking of evidence in international commercial arbitration is provided in article 27 of Law 2735/1999, which constitutes a mandatory provision that the parties cannot alter by contract. The arbitral tribunal, or a party with the approval of the arbitral tribunal, may request assistance from the competent national court in taking evidence (article 27 of Law 2735/1999). The court may exercise the request within its competence and according to the provisions of the GCCP. Such request is considered admissible by the court only in the case where the arbitral tribunal is indeed proven incapable of taking such evidence itself. The arbitral tribunal's denial of a request for assistance in gathering evidence may constitute a valid reason for setting aside the arbitral award, to the extent proven that the party was prevented from meeting its burden of proof.

31 Document production

What is the relevant law and prevailing practice relating to document production in international arbitration in your jurisdiction?

Greek law contains no provisions relating to the production of documents. In the absence of a specific agreement between the parties, the arbitral tribunal will conduct the procedure of document production during the arbitration proceedings as it deems appropriate. In addition, the tribunal can seek assistance from domestic courts for gathering evidentiary documents. Such request will be ruled upon in accordance with the provisions of the GCCP.

Greek courts regularly take the IBA Rules into account.

32 Hearings

Is it mandatory to have a final hearing on the merits? Law 2735/1999 does not require a final hearing on the merits. This issue is to be determined by the parties, as they are free to set the rules of the procedure (article 19 of Law 2735/1999).

In domestic arbitration, as per GCCP article 886, all details of the arbitration proceedings, including hearings, are determined by the arbitral tribunal.

33 Seat or place of arbitration

If your jurisdiction is selected as the seat of arbitration, may hearings and procedural meetings be conducted elsewhere?

Unless the parties have agreed otherwise, the arbitral tribunal may hold hearings and procedural meetings at any place it considers appropriate (article 20 of Law 2735/1999). The fact that hearings or procedural meetings may be conducted at a place other than the place where the arbitration is seated does not mean that the seat of arbitration changes.

There are no similar provisions with respect to domestic arbitration.

Award

34 Majority decisions

Can the tribunal decide by majority?

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, a multi-member arbitral tribunal rules by majority. If a majority cannot be achieved, the opinion of the tribunal’s chairperson prevails. The above provisions apply in both international commercial arbitration and domestic arbitration (article 29 of Law 2735/1999 and GCCP article 891, respectively).

Furthermore, in international commercial arbitration, procedural matters can be resolved by the full panel or by the chairperson, if the parties have so authorised.

35 Limitations to awards and relief

Are there any particular types of remedies or relief that an arbitral tribunal may not grant?

Arbitral tribunals seated in Greece can provide a range of remedies or relief. The applicable substantive law determines the types of remedies that are available. For example, even though the Greek law generally does not recognise punitive damages, a tribunal may still order them if the law governing the dispute so allows. But, should the applicable substantive law be that of another state, tribunals that have their seat in Greece may not grant relief or remedies that are in conflict with Greek public policy as the concept of the latter is defined in article 33 of Greek Civil Code. Such an award may be annulled following a
successful submission of an application for setting aside an award under article 34 of Law 2735/1999.

36 Dissenting arbitrators

Are dissenting opinions permitted under the law of your jurisdiction? If so, are they common in practice?

Despite the absence of an explicit reference in Greek law, dissenting opinions are permitted, and are often found in international commercial arbitration awards held under Law 2735/1999.

37 Formalities

What, if any, are the legal and formal requirements for a valid and enforceable award?

Law 2735/1999 provides for the formal requirements (eg, the mandatory minimum content) for a valid and enforceable award in international commercial arbitration in Greece. According to article 31 of Law 2735/1999, the award must be in writing, must be signed by the arbitrators and must state (i) its date; (ii) the place of the arbitration; and (iii) the grounds for the ruling, unless the parties have agreed otherwise or the award is an award on agreed terms (ie, a settlement).

In domestic arbitration, similar formal requirements for a valid and enforceable award are set out in GCCP article 892.

In international commercial arbitration one original copy of the award must be delivered to each party. Unless otherwise agreed by the parties and if the award is to be enforced in Greece, the arbitrator or one of the arbitrators (appointed by the tribunal) is obliged, if requested, to file the original of the award with the secretariat of the competent Court of First Instance (article 32(5) of Law 2735/1999).

A similar provision exists for domestic arbitration (GCCP article 893).

38 Time frames

What time limits, if any, should parties be aware of in respect of an award? In particular, do any time limits govern the interpretation and correction of an award?

In international commercial arbitration, the application for setting aside an award must be made within three months of the date of receipt of the award by the challenging party or, if a request for correction or interpretation had been made under article 33 of Law 2735/1999, from the date of communication of that request by the arbitral tribunal.

Moreover, unless a different time limit has been agreed by the parties, each party may request the tribunal to correct formal errors of the award or interpret the latter within 30 days following its delivery. The request shall also be notified to the other party. The tribunal shall make the corrections or the interpretation within 30 days as of receipt of the request. The tribunal may extend the time limit it deems it necessary (article 33 of Law 2537/1999). In any case, the tribunal may correct ex officio any formal error of the award within 30 days from its issuance.

In domestic arbitration, the time limit for setting aside the award is three months as of service of the award (GCCP article 899).

Furthermore, similar options are available under GCCP article 894 for the correction or the interpretation of the award. As opposed to international commercial arbitration, in domestic arbitration the request for interpretation or correction may be submitted by any person who has signed the arbitration agreement (while in international commercial arbitration the request can be filed only by a party that has participated in the arbitral proceedings), and there is no time limit either with respect to the submission of the request or the examination of such request by the tribunal.
Parties seeking to oppose enforcement of an award on the basis of Greek public policy have a heavy burden. A court will not set aside an arbitral award on the basis of contravening public policy just because the arbitral tribunal erroneously interpreted and applied the law or has insufficient reasoning, unless the combination of these factors and the operative part of the award create a situation that runs afoul of public policy in Greece.

The parties may agree to recourse against the award before another arbitral tribunal (article 35(2)).

Similarly, in domestic arbitration, GCCP article 895 provides that the arbitral award is not subject to appeal, while parties have the power to agree to recourse against the award before another arbitral tribunal. In this case, the arbitration agreement will determine the procedure to be followed. With respect to the grounds for setting aside the award, GCCP article 897 (which is mandatory) provides for an exhaustive list.

42 Other grounds for challenge
Are there any other bases on which an award may be challenged, and if so what?

In international commercial arbitration, there are no grounds for challenge of an arbitral award other than the ones mentioned in question 41 and prescribed by article 34 of Law 2735/1999.

In domestic arbitration, Greek law also provides for the recognition/declaration of the non-existence of an arbitral award if: (i) there is no arbitration agreement at all; (ii) the dispute was of non-arbitrable nature; or (iii) the award was issued in arbitration involving a non-existing individual or legal entity (article 901 of GCCP).

43 Modifying an award
Is it open to the parties to exclude by agreement any right of appeal or other recourse that the law of your jurisdiction may provide?

Parties may not waive their right to challenge the arbitral award prior to the time of its issuance. Such waiver will be considered null and void (GCCP article 900). Moreover, because article 897, which provides for the grounds for setting aside an arbitral award, is mandatory, the parties cannot exclude any of these grounds by entering into a private agreement.

Enforcement in your jurisdiction
44 Enforcement of set-aside awards
Will an award that has been set aside by the courts in the seat of arbitration be enforced in your jurisdiction?

Pursuant to article 5(1)(e) of the Convention, recognition and enforcement of an arbitral award may be refused should the party against which enforcement is sought prove that the award in question has been set aside by a competent authority of the country in which, or under the law of which, that award was issued. Therefore, the grounds on which the award has been quashed are considered, in principle, irrelevant and the set-aside decision will be recognised and enforced (pursuant to the relevant legislation, such as Regulation 1215/2012, the Lugano Convention on bilateral agreements, etc), unless it is deemed contrary to Greek public policy.

45 Trends
What trends, if any, are suggested by recent enforcement decisions? What is the prevailing approach of the courts in this regard?

The Convention is being applied uniformly by Greek courts, which strongly tend to recognise and enforce foreign arbitral awards.

46 State immunity
To what extent might a state or state entity successfully raise a defence of state or sovereign immunity at the enforcement stage?

No tendency towards the acceptance or rejection of the invocation of sovereign immunity can be detected with certainty in Greek jurisprudence.

Further considerations
47 Confidentiality
To what extent are arbitral proceedings in your jurisdiction confidential?

Greek law is silent on the issue of confidentiality. However, the private nature of arbitration tends to favour both confidentiality and secrecy of hearings, submissions, notes, evidence and awards, which, in principle, are not accessible to third parties. Should the parties want to ensure confidentiality in every step of the procedure, they should include a relevant clause in the arbitration agreement or in the terms of reference. The fact that the original of the award is filed with the competent first instance court (see question 37) does not render the award publicly available. One has to establish a legitimate interest to acquire a copy of it.

48 Evidence and pleadings
What is the position relating to evidence produced and pleadings filed in the arbitration? Are these confidential? Is there any way that they might be relied on in other proceedings (whether arbitral or court proceedings)?

There is no relevant provision in Greek law. Parties are free to determine the level of confidentiality in the arbitral proceedings. In practice (unless otherwise agreed in the arbitration agreement), evidence produced, pleadings filed or information disclosed during the arbitral proceedings might be invoked and relied upon in any of the subsequent proceedings.

49 Ethical codes
What ethical codes and other professional standards, if any, apply to counsel and arbitrators conducting proceedings in your jurisdiction?

The Lawyer’s Code of Ethics is in force in Greece. This ethical code applies to counsels and arbitrators as long as they are registered attorneys under Greek law. In international commercial arbitration, the IBA Rules of Ethics for International Arbitrators, though not binding, are also taken into account.

50 Procedural expectations
Are there any particular procedural expectations or assumptions of which counsel or arbitrators participating in an international arbitration with its seat in your jurisdiction should be aware?

There are no particular expectations or assumptions that counsels or arbitrators should be aware of.

51 Third-party funding
Is third-party funding permitted in your jurisdiction?

In the absence of a relevant provision under Greek law, third-party funding is rather uncommon in Greek arbitration practice, any party is free to conclude a relevant agreement with a third party.
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